

# Íîîñòè

Äàòà ñîçääìèÿ : 2010/10/15 11:00:00

Áiàdaaà à ènòìdèè ÒìÆĀ, ààí ðóèíàíàñòàí íà ñóíàèí òíàíàñòè ÷àííèíàòò Ìèðà ñðààè íóæ÷èí è æàííúèí, íàíàñý íàðíííúé òðàèúíúé è òèíàíñíàúé òùàðà íàòèííàèúí Òàààðàòèýì è ñíðòòñíàíàí. Áíàñòí òíàí, ÷òíáí ñààèàòò àñ, àíçííæííà àèý ðààèèçàòèè òðàà ñíðòòñíàííà, èíòíðùá ííè çàíáíààèè à íòàíðí÷íúò ÷àííèíàòò è èíòíðùá ààðàíòèðíààèèñú èì ðààèàííàíòàìè ýòèð ÷àííèíàòò è, òàèèì íàðàçíí, ñíèçèòò òðàèúíúà òòàðè, ðóèíàíàñòò ÒìÆĀ àù, áíèàà òñèèèè ñáíð àèòèàíñòò à ààèà íàíèíòéýòèè íóíèòàìè Òñòààà, íèðííàáíííàí òíòàèòèíèçíà. Ðàññíòðèì íà òðèíàðà íàèáíèàà ààæíúò ñíáúòèè.

Ἄρα αἰτῶ, -οἱ ἀρεῶν ἱερέων ἐν τῷ Ὁσῶ, καὶ ἐπὶ ἀσὺν ἁ νῆα ἀοὺν. Ἐξ ἰστέον 4.1 νῆα ἀοὺν, -οἱ ἀσὺν Ἄ ὑπερὸν καὶ ἐν ἰστέον ὈΙἈ. Ἄ ἂν ἰστέον ἂν ἐπὶ ἀσὺν, -οἱ καὶ ἰστέον νῆα

ia eiaao. Ii caeiai, ieiyoui ia AA oaa ioeie iadi-iua -aiieiaou e auee ieiyoui nioaonooapueta daaeaiou. Iiuoea caiei -enei an, yoi eiaieou a naie oaeo aiaieo i iiei aaqaeiee e aeneieiaoe niooniaia.

Aiaua, odoi iioaiaou, -oi eiio-oi e q aaiieoae-aneie noiaiu iiaao ioeoe a aieiao aieiniaaiea eoeou iaaa eadieta, eioidia iie caiaaee a -aioie nioeaeie aduaa, q eioidue noieo ooa ia oieui niooniaia, i e oiaiaia. Eoia oia iie. iiaiee iaieua oiaia. xoi eanaaony onetaey aieiniaeiey, etaaa iao-aioea oaeoaaony eae "qa", oi oiaieinu au nioieou Oaaia, a eaeie noiaia iiaiaiee aanoia i iia aeaaou? Yoi iao a oaqaea 4.5.,aaa oanniaoaeaaony aieiniaeiey cai-iia aieiniaeiey. iiaiaio oaeoiaeo ioe aieiniaeiee a OIAE., eiaa 90 % noiaia ia iaaa-aao ia ienuia e q OIAE., eia-a -ai iioeie iaiaa odoi iioaiaou. xoi eanaaony ioeaaa, iia Oaadi ioeoa 7.1, oi a i, i e-aai ia aiaieony i aieiniaeiee e, iiooi, -oi oa-u ea, o i neo-ay, ia oaaapuoe aieiniaeiey. iaia oioia aeaa ia ioeiaa "aieiniaeiey" i aiaio + --. Ana, eoi 25 noiaia, ia ioeiaio yoi iaaaeei, a niaaia Oaao ieo-aony, -oi ana "qa". Aey ia i-aaeai, -oi aieiniaeiee iaeeaeoei, eae e nai -aieia. Iyoi, a neeo iaioyoeuoa, OIAE iaqai ioeaeieou iaeeiaeuui oaaiaoeey iaeoe aieiniaeiey iioaia -aiieia n ieiieueui oiaiaiee caoiaie nioia iiaaiaiey -aieiaia Aadi. Ieaeie oioieo 6oe iu -aieiaio ioea ia ioeiaia, e aaaa iooaeeaaou iaaa iaee eadieta. xaiu DE, ioeiaiee aieiniaeiey iiaiaia aaqaeiey, eaeie au oaeainiaaiaioy iie ia ioeoiaeeenu, ia eiaio iaaia ioeieouy a OIAE e aieiaiee ooe a iooaee.

2. xaiieia ioea noaae ioa-ei 2009, 2011 aiaia.

Ainiae iooa eiaa iaa ioeieou -aieiaa a Aaqeiee, i ia eiaa ieeaeia iaaa e iiei-e ioeiou -aieiaa ioea a ioeieia. Eae e n aeaineei -aieiaioi, ioeaia OIAE ia aieiee iaiaiea niaueou iei-aeeuiia daaiea ai 1 ia, qaoioa i-aii aaieiee aiaio ia 3 iaioa. Ioeiaa -aieiaa, ioeaia OIAE ioeaieeiee ia oieui ioeieuee ouaa, i e oaeo oiaia niooniaia i o-aioe a iadi-iua nioaiaiey. Iyoi ooeiaiaia OIAE iaqai aaou aieiniaeiey eadieta daaeieiaou iaaa e ioeaeieou iaeeiaeuui oaaiaoeey iioaia -aieiaa ioea 2009 ai naaaie ioeey 2011 aiaa. Aioaiaia, -aieiaa aieiaa ioeiee i oioi, iie nioia n ieiieueui caoiaie.

Eae eaaioi, OIAE iiaiaeo ieeoeoe ioeie-aiey iaaaiaeeoaeuoa i iiaie noiaiu n oaeup eiaou a -aieiaa aieuea noia. Yoi caoeieeiee a daaiey AA e nioaonooapueta daaeaiou. Oaee ioeou a daaeaiou x 2007 iu oie ioeaiee:

- 10. A. If the titleholder wins the title, the number two will be Challenger.
  - B. If the titleholder loses the title, he will be the Challenger.
  - C. There will be no players qualified from this world championship for the next world championship.
- Only exception for the World Champion 2007

Article 20 Reserves: Until 2 weeks before the tournament the confederations may replace a player if that player can not come. From 2 weeks before the tournament a general reserve will be taken from the European Championship 2006 in Bovec. This can not be a player from Holland or Russia because they already have 3 participants in the World Championship.

Oae eae daaiea AA aioneeenu 2 iaaaiaeeoaeey i noiaiu, oi yni, -oi ioo, i ianioeaiou iaieioeyoe a x 2009 Aieiaiey ieo-eae 4 iaia aiaio caeiiu 2-o e Diney 5 aiaio 35. Oiaa aioneeenu 48 eadieta e iiaiaia naee ia auqaeiee iioaia.

Oiaou ooeiaiaia niaa ioei ia iadodiae nioeaeia iadi daae naieo oaiieoia. Aey aieaaoeenu iaaaiaa iiaieieouy n daaiey AA 2009 a Aaeia.



äïëæíú áúòù óääæääíúâ â ñïðòèâíï ìèðá ëpàè, ïëüçópùèãñÿ äíââðèâì âñâó ñòìðíí.

İđâçèääíò Ôääâðàöèè øàøâê Áâèâðóñè

İđâçèääíò Ôääâðàöèè Ėçðàèëÿ (Ėçðàèëü ïèàòèò ñðââíèé âçíñ)

Âèöâ-İđâçèääíò Ėàòâèéñèíâî øàøâê-ííâî ñîpçà

İđâçèääíò Ôääâðàöèè øàøâê Ėèòâú

İđâçèääíò Ôääâðàöèè øàøâê İëüøè

We are National Federations who pay maximal fees to FMJD and are ones of the most active participants in FMJD men and women, 100 squares and 64 squares competitions, we make a decisive statement, because we cannot and we will not tolerate any more the lack of respect towards us from some administrators of the Executive Board. We are permanently faced to their disregard of draughts` and sport`s laws, which are the Statues, competitions` regulations and General Assemblies` (hereinafter referred as GA) decisions.

For the first time in the history of the FMJD, its administration wasn`t able to hold the World championship men and women, this caused the moral and financial damage to National Federations and sportsmen. Instead of doing all their possible to carry out sportsmen`s rights, that they had won in the elimination games and that were guaranteed to them by these championships` regulations and, in such a way, to reduce moral waste, FMJD`s administration only increased its activity in manipulation with Statues clauses, undisguised protectionism.

Let`s examine the most important situations.

#### 1. World championship women 2009

National championships` regulations were composed according to GA`s decisions and FMJD Statues, on the grounds of these regulations sportswomen got a legal right to participate in the World Championship. During the 2009 GA Mr.Otten insisted on concession of the organisation right to the Brazilian federation again, in spite of the trickery with the holding of a championship in Brazil. It was important that he promised to give his final decision before the 1st of May. But he didn`t keep his promise and only in the end of July he informed us about expectations of refuse from the Brazilian federation. Sooner Mr. Chertok proposed to hold the WC 2009 in Ufa with 6 participants. It is obvious that FMJD was obliged to refuse this variant, because the FMJD isn`t a private company of Mr. Chertok, and his proposition ignored many players` rights and laws inside the FMJD. The moral aspect was also to take into consideration: amount, indicated by Mr. Chertok was several times exceeding expenses, necessary to hold the WC with 20 participants, really pretending to take part and having the rights for it. There is no doubt that Mr.Chertok was realizing his plan, having a preliminary agreement with the FMJD administration. That`s why FMJD not only didn`t refuse Mr.Chertok`s illegal action, but began to actively put it into practice. For this, in such cases, they use the FMJD Secretary Mr Teer. His manipulations with Statues clauses and voting in order to attend a necessary result need to be denounced.

So, Mr.Teer sent to all the Federations a question about the holding of the WC in Ufa, proposing some clauses of the Statues which legalized this inquiry. But Mr Teer doesn`t mention the main clause of the Statues: Art.4.1.... The General Assembly is the highest legislative body of the FMJD....

That`s why we can only talk about questions that GA hadn`t time to discuss or that weren`t discussed

by GA, otherwise, this proposition of Mr. Teer makes senseless participation in GA and GA itself, because Board can at anytime change any decision made by GA. It means the abolition of the main clause 4.1 of the Statues.

The main thing that makes Mr. Teer's inquiry illegitimate is the next one. The clause 4.1 means that decisions of the GA represent a law inside the FMJD. It's well known all over the world, that the law isn't retroactive. According to laws adopted on GA, elimination games had already been held and appropriated regulations had been adopted. A tentative to change all this just to suit its own ends is an illustration of a complete lawlessness and discrimination of the sportsmen.

Actually, it's hard to imagine that someone from a democratic country can have an idea to use the voting in order to deprive players of their rights, that they had conquered in a honest sportive battle, behind which there is not only sportsmen's labour, but also work of their coaches. Besides that, they had to bear important expenses.

As to the voting, when the abstention is treated like a vote "for", we would like to ask Mr. Teer, in what country could he see such a nonsense? It's not included in the clause 4.5., where is presented a possibility of an absentee voting. A such interpretation of FMJD voting, when 90% of the countries don't answer FMJD's letters, can hardly be understood like something else then a fraud. As to the clause 7.1., cited by Teer, there is nothing about the voting and it's clear that it concerns only the situations, that don't need a voting. The fraud can be clearly seen at the example of a "voting" about "+--". All the countries, except two, don't admit this rule, but according to Teer, it appears, that everybody is "for".

It's obvious for us that the voting is illegitimate as the championship itself. That's why, under these circumstances, FMJD is obliged to propose to National Federations to find a possibility to hold a championship with minimal financial expenses, according to the European championships mode. We don't recognize any 6 members tournament as a world championship and we will defend our players' rights. Members of the Board who accept a possibility of such a lawlessness don't have any right to be a part of FMJD, in spite of all suitability that they use as a cover, and they should retire.

## 2. World championship men 2009, 2011

Mr. Otten had a right to annul the championship in Brazil, but he had no right and no authority to annul the world championship in general. Like in situation with women championship, the President of FMJD didn't keep his promise to announce the final decision before the 1st of May, delaying this very important question for 3 months. By annulling the championship, the FMJD President ignored not only the moral damage, but also the fact of expenses of sportsmen to participate in elimination games. That's why FMJD administration is obliged to give the players a possibility to realize their rights and propose to National Federations to hold a World Championship 2009 before the middle of April 2011. Naturally, championship has to be held according to a simplified mode with minimal expenses.

It's well known, that FMJD follow a policy of restriction of representation from each country in order to increase the number of countries in the championship. It's fixed in GA's decisions and appropriated regulations. We would like to cite these clauses of the WC 2007 regulation:

10. A. If the titleholder wins the title, the number two will be Challenger.

B. If the titleholder loses the title, he will be the Challenger.

C. There will be no players qualified from this world championship for the next world championship.

Only exception for the World Champion 2007

Article 20 Reserves: Until 2 weeks before the tournament the confederations may replace a player if that player can not come. From 2 weeks before the tournament a general reserve will be taken from

the European Championship 2006 in Bovec. This can not be a player from Holland or Russia because they already have 3 participants in the World Championship

As the GA decision accepted 2 representatives from one country, it's clear that by the way of non-sportive manipulations in the WC2009 Holland got 4 places instead of 2 legal, and Russia got 5 instead of 3. There were 48 players admitted then, so such a deal didn't provoke any protest. Now the administration decided to break again the rules of sportive selection for its favourites. In order to prove it we propose to review the decisions of the Berlin GA, 2009:

10d1 Proposal qualification for the World Championship men and women 2011

1-2 the two players qualified to play the title match in 2010

3. A third player from the WC 2009 (number 2 or 3)

4. Sponsor place

5. Organisation place

For the following players a maximum of 2 players per country:

Places 6-7 Panamerican zone: 2 places, organisation PADCF

Places 8-11 African zone : 4 places, organisation CAJD

Places 12-13 Asian zone: 2 places, organisation Asian confederation

Place 14 Russia: direct place

Place 15 Netherlands: direct place

Place 16-20 Players Europe, organisation EDC: 5 places

Teer explains that this proposal is based on the qualification for the World Championship in 2007.

Only for men there is one place more for Asia given the developments with new members China, Japan.

The proposal is accepted without further remarks and without voting.

It's clear from the GA decisions that Russia had only one personal place for the WC 2011, because Schwarzman, whatever the outcome of WC 2009, gained a place at WC 2011. Taking into consideration that all the countries were restricted at two places, Russia could have maximum 3 places at WC 2011. Now, let's have a look at what kind of, to say the least of it, non-sporting tricks resorted the Board in order to include their favourites without a sportive selection.

One place gave Georgiev for losing a match and Russia got the second additional place for the 4th place in WC2007. It's sensational, that Podolski and Chizhov didn't get at the WC2009 and, without game, they were proposed places in 2011. We once again draw your attention to the clause 10 and article 20. How can we talk about participation in the next World championship of Chizhov, who occupied the 4th place in WC 2007? Who took this decision? It seems that after 3 years, the tournament director decided to change the WC2007 regulation. It's obvious that Russia, already having 3 places in the WC 2011 didn't have any right to get an additional place. So, it's absolutely clear from the enumerated decisions and regulations that legal holder of these 2 places, based on the European championship, are Valneris (Latvia) and Ivanov (Ukraine).

We are claiming to return the places to their legal holders. We are also claiming to present the protocol of making the decision to accord two additional places to representatives of Russia with mention of a clause or a GA decision, allowing Chizhov and Georgiev to get at the WC 2011 without selection. We are reminding one more time, that FMJD isn't a private company and nobody is allowed to distribute places in the World Championship behind-the-scenes, according to someone's own sympathy.

3. Compensation to the sportsmen and participants of the GA for the tickets and visas in Brazil.



It's obvious for us, that FMJD is financially and morally responsible towards aggrieved players and representatives. The legal responsibility of FMJD is also clear. This question was posed at GA 2009 in Berlin. The claims were expressed in different speeches. Mr. Otten declared, that under his responsibility, he guarantee payments to all members, who will not play in Brazil.

Now, after the election, the President of FMJD retract his words, and Mr. Teer refused to include these words into report, because he doesn't remember them. Once again we are facing a fraud and a lie. In any case, we claim to answer, which way and according to what schedule the reimbursement of loss will be paid.

In the event that our requirements to present the Board decisions according to the Statues, GA decisions, championships regulations and sportive principles, will not be fulfilled, we are claiming to create, on an urgent basis, an independent commission for investigation of the facts, enumerated in this statement. Members of this commission have to be reputable persons in the sportive world, trusted by all the parts.

President of Belarus Draughts Federation

President of Israeli Draughts Federation (Israel pay a medium fee)

Vice - President of Latvian Draughts Union

President of Lithuanian Draughts Federation

President of Polish Draughts Federation